

American Medical Association Instructs Doctors to Deceive

By Dr. Joseph Mercola

Global Research, September 23, 2021

Mercola

Region: <u>USA</u>

Theme: Law and Justice, Science and

Medicine

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at <u>@crg_globalresearch.</u>

The Winter 2021 "AMA COVID-19 Guide: Background/Messaging on Vaccines, Vaccine Clinical Trials & Combatting Vaccine Misinformation," issued by the American Medical Association raises serious questions about the AMA's adherence to transparency, honesty, ethics and the moral standards to which it will hold its members

The guide lists nine "key messages" the AMA wants doctors to focus on when communicating about COVID-19. This includes stressing the importance of eliminating nonmedical vaccine exemptions, the importance of flu vaccines and COVID shots, and expressing confidence in vaccine development

In the guide, the AMA instructs doctors on how to disinform the public using psychological and linguistic tools. This includes explicit instructions on which words to swap for other more narrative-affirming choices

Word swaps include changing "hospitalization rates" to "deaths," two terms that are not even remotely interchangeable

Swapping the term "Operation Warp Speed" for "standard process" is another rather egregious misdirection. The two are not interchangeable. In fact, they're diametrically opposed to one another

*

The Winter 2021 "AMA COVID-19 Guide: Background/Messaging on Vaccines, Vaccine Clinical Trials & Combatting Vaccine Misinformation," issued by the American Medical Association (AMA) raises serious questions about the AMA's adherence to transparency, honesty, ethics and the moral standards to which it will hold its members.

The AMA was founded in 1847 and is the largest professional association and lobbying group

of physicians and medical students in the U.S. According to the AMA itself, its mission is to promote the art and science of medicine and the betterment of public health.

How then do they explain this "COVID-19 messaging guide," which explicitly teaches doctors how to deceive their patients and the media when asked tough questions about COVID-19, treatment options and COVID shots?

AMA Teaches Doctors How to Deceive

"It is critical that physicians and patients have confidence in the safety and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines as they become available for public use," the "AMA COVID-19 Guide" states, adding:²

"To overcome vaccine hesitancy and ensure widespread vaccine acceptance among all demographic groups, physicians and the broader public health community must continue working to build trust in vaccine safety and efficacy, especially in marginalized and minoritized communities with historically well-founded mistrust in medical institutions."

Indeed, the entire guide is aimed at teaching doctors how to foster confidence in the medical profession in general, as it pertains to treatment of COVID-19, but in particular as it pertains to the experimental COVID shots.

The guide provides "suggested narratives" for various engagements, such as when communicating on social media, as sell as "talking points to guide external communications," such as when being interviewed. It lists nine specific "key messages" that they want doctors to focus on when communicating about COVID-19. These key messages can be summarized as follows:

- Express confidence in vaccine development
- Stress the importance of vaccines
- Highlight the need to combat the spread of vaccine misinformation
- Adhere to updated ethical guidance for physicians and medical personnel, which says they have a moral obligation to get vaccinated themselves
- Give general vaccine recommendations, such as the recommendation for everyone over the age of 6 months, including pregnant women, to get an annual flu shot
- Stress the importance of eliminating nonmedical vaccine exemptions
- Highlight the increased availability of flu vaccines, and the importance of getting a flu shot even if you've gotten a COVID injection
- Highlight the importance of including minorities, both in vaccine trials and as trusted messengers who can "promote social pressure" to get minorities vaccinated and dispel historical distrust in medical institutions
- Denounce scientific analyses "predicated on personal opinions, anecdote and political ideologies"

AMA Concerned About Disinformation

On page 7 of the guide, under the science narrative heading, the AMA declares it is "deeply concerned that rampant disinformation and the politicization of health issues are eroding public confidence in science and undermining trust in physicians and medical institutions,"

adding that "Science should be grounded in a common understanding of facts and evidence and able to empower people to make informed decisions about their health."³

To that end, the AMA is calling upon "all elected officials to affirm science and fact in their words and actions," and for media to "be vigilant in communicating factual information" and to "challenge those who chose to trade in misinformation."

AMA Then Instructs Doctors on How to Disinform

It's a disappointment, then, to find the AMA instructing doctors on how to misinform the public using a variety of psychological and linguistic tools. Perhaps one of the most egregious examples of this is the recommended "COVID-19 language swaps" detailed on page 9.

As you can see below, the AMA explicitly instructs doctors to swap out certain words and terms for other, more narrative-affirming choices. Shockingly, this includes swapping "hospitalization rates" to "deaths" — two terms that are not even remotely interchangeable!

It strains credulity that the AMA would actually tell doctors to substitute a factual data point with an outright lie. But with this swap, are they not telling doctors to state that people are dead, when in fact they've only been hospitalized with COVID-19?

Hospitalization rate refers to how many people are sick in the hospital with COVID-19, whereas death refers to how many people have died. The first term refers to people who are still alive, and the other refers to patients who are not alive.

It strains credulity that the AMA would actually tell doctors to substitute a factual data point with an outright lie. But with this swap, are they not telling doctors to state that people are dead, when in fact they've only been hospitalized with COVID-19?

COVID-19 Language Swaps

According to a recent poll by de Beaumont Foundation, the following alternative language can help mitigate perceived partisanship in COVID-19 messaging.

Instead of	Say
Lockdown	Stay-at-home order
COVID mandates, directives, controls, orders	COVID protocols
National duty	Personal responsibility
Coronavirus/COVID-19	Pandemic
Hospitalization rates	Deaths
Defeat, crush	Eliminate, eradicate
Operation warp speed	Standard process
Government	Public health agencies
Science-, medicine-, data-based	Fact-based
The consequences of not taking the vaccine	The benefits of taking it
Getting the vaccine is the right thing to do	Getting the vaccine will keep you safe
Predictability/certainty	A return to normal
Discover/create/invent	Research
The dollars spent; number of participants	A transparent, rigorous process
Security	Safety
Misled/confused about the vaccine	Skeptical/concerned about the vaccine
Historic	Advanced/groundbreaking
The world's leading experts	America's leading experts

Another highly questionable word swap is to not address the nitty, gritty details of vaccine trials, such as the number of participants, and instead simply refer to these trials as having gone through "a transparent, rigorous process."

Swapping the factual term "Operation Warp Speed" for "standard process" is another outrageous misdirection. The two simply aren't interchangeable. In fact, they're actually diametrically opposed to one another. Standard process for vaccine development includes a long process of over a decade and a large number of steps that were either omitted or drastically shortened for the COVID shots.

Following standard process is what makes vaccine development take, on average, 10 years and often longer. Operation Warp Speed allowed vaccine makers to slap together these COVID shots in about nine months from start to finish. You cannot possibly say that the two terms describe an identical process.

The Power of Language

Other language swaps are less incredulous but still highlight the fact that the AMA wants its members to help push a very specific and one-sided narrative that makes power-grabbing overreaches and totalitarian tactics sound less bad than they actually are, and make questionable processes sound A-OK.

Language is a powerful tool with which we shape reality,⁴ because it shapes how we think about things. As noted by storyteller and filmmaker Jason Silva:⁵

"The use of language, the words you use to describe reality, can in fact engender reality, can disclose reality. Words are generative... We create and perceive our reality

through language. We think reality into existence through linguistic construction in realtime."

For example, "lockdown" sounds like involuntary imprisonment imposed by a totalitarian regime, which is what it is, whereas "stay-at-home order" sounds far less draconian. After all, "home" is typically associated with comfort and safety.

The same goes for using "COVID protocols" in lieu of "COVID mandates, directives, controls and orders." "Protocols" sounds like something that is standard procedure, as if the COVID measures are nothing new, whereas "mandates, controls and orders" imply that, indeed, we're in medical fascism territory, which we are.

How to Steer, Block, Deflect and Stall Inconvenient Questions

The AMA could have instructed its members to simply stick to the facts and be honest — and in some sections, it does do that — but it doesn't end there. Rather, the AMA provides a full page of instructions on how to steer the conversation, and how to block, deflect and stall when faced with tough questions where an honest answer might actually break the official narrative.

Here's a sampling of these instructions. I encourage you to read through page 8 of the guide, and pay attention to these psychological tricks when listening to interviews or reading the news.

Interviewing techniques		
Steer the conversation back to the narrative by saying:	"Before we leave that matter, let me add"	
Block a tough question by saying:	"That's [proprietary, confidential etc], but what I can tell you is"	
Deflect an unwanted question by saying:	"That's a common misperception but the reality is"	
Redirect away from an unwanted question, back to the official narrative by saying:	"I don't have the details on that, but what I know is"	
Stall by saying:	Repeat the question asked, or acknowledge the question by saying, "I'm glad you asked"	

It's worth noting that the AMA also stresses that: 1) Doctors are to speak for the AMA, and 2) doctors are NOT to offer their personal views. Speaking for the AMA is listed under "Your Responsibilities" when being interviewed, while not discussing personal views is listed under "Interview Don'ts."

AMA Is Rapidly Eroding All Credibility

The AMA's guidance isn't all bad. Some of its advice makes perfect sense. But the inclusion

of language swaps that result in false statements being made, and tools for steering, blocking, deflecting, redirecting and stalling in order to avoid direct answers do nothing but erode credibility and thus trust in the medical community.

Its direct instruction to not share personal views is another trust-eroding strategy. When people talk to their doctor, they want to hear what that doctor actually thinks, based on their own knowledge and experience.

They don't expect their doctor — or a doctor appearing in an interview — to simply rehash a narrative dictated by the AMA. If we cannot trust our medical professionals to give their honest opinions and give direct answers, there's little reason to even discuss our concerns with them, and that's the opposite of what the AMA claims it seeks to achieve.

The AMA is concerned about the proliferation of misinformation and eroding trust, yet it's telling its members to keep their professional views to themselves and lie about COVID deaths. With this guidance document, the AMA is essentially implicating itself as a source and instigator of medical misinformation that ultimately might injure patients.

In a Stew Peters Show interview (see top of this article), Dr. Bryan Ardis criticized the AMA guidance document, pointing out that while the AMA claims it put out the guidance to prevent political ideologies from dictating medicine, it is actually proving that the AMA itself is deferring to political ideology rather than medical facts.

The AMA wants its members to act as propagandists for a particular narrative — using "politically correct language" — rather than sharing information and acting in accordance with their own conscience and professional insight. As noted by Peters:

"If a doctor's just going to repeat what the AMA tells them, why have doctors at all? You can get plenty of starving propagandists at any liberal college, but instead we want to turn our medical professionals into ideological zombies with stethoscopes."

Sen. Warren Threatens Amazon to Ban 'The Truth About COVID-19'

Since the publication of my latest book, "The Truth About COVID-19," which became an instant best seller on Amazon.com, there's been a significant increase in calls for censorship and ruthless attacks against me.

Most recently, so-called "progressive" U.S. Sen. Elizabeth Warren, D-Mass., in an outrageous, slanderous and basically unconstitutional attempt to suppress free speech, sent a letter to Amazon, demanding an "immediate review" of their algorithms to weed out books peddling "COVID misinformation."

Warren specifically singled out "The Truth About COVID-19" as a prime example of "highly ranked and favorably tagged books based on falsehoods about COVID-19 vaccines and cures" that she wants to see banned from sale.

Two days later, U.S. Rep. Adam Schiff, D-Calif., followed in Warren's footsteps, sending letters to Facebook and Amazon, calling for more prolific censorship of vaccine information. Even President Joe Biden has recently used a debunked report as his sole source to call for my censorship.

Sadly, these attacks are being levied by the very people elected to safeguard democracy

and our Constitutional rights. Essentially, what they are calling for is modern-day book burning. This is a democracy, not a monarchy.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums, etc.

Notes

- 1, 2, 3 AMA COVID-19 Guide
- ⁴ A Mind for Language: How Language Shapes Our Reality, Senior Thesis Philosophy by Eric Tompkins, 2011
- ⁵ Thymindoman.com Does Language Construct Reality?

Featured image is from NOQ Report

The original source of this article is Mercola Copyright © Dr. Joseph Mercola, Mercola, 2021

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Dr. Joseph

<u>Mercola</u>

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca