

American Media "Trumpwashes" 70 Years of US Crimes. What is this "Liberal, Rules-based International Order"

By Adam Johnson

Region: <u>USA</u>

Global Research, December 31, 2017

Theme: History, Media Disinformation

FAIR 30 December 2017

Trumpwashing—defined as whitewashing, obscuring or rewriting the broader US record by presenting Donald Trump as an aberration (**FAIR.org**, <u>6/3/16</u>)—was on full display Thursday in a nominally straight news report from the **New York Times**' Mark Landler (<u>12/28/17</u>) on how Trump has reshaped US foreign policy. Buried in the otherwise banal analysis was this gem of US imperial agitprop:

Above all, Mr. Trump has transformed the world's view of the United States from a reliable anchor of the liberal, rules-based international order into something more inward-looking and unpredictable. That is a seminal change from the role the country has played for 70 years, under presidents from both parties, and it has lasting implications for how other countries chart their futures.

There's lots of ideology to unpack here, but let's start with the empirically false assertion that the "world" viewed the United States as a "reliable anchor of the liberal, rules-based international order." Poll (**Guardian**, 6/15/06) after poll (**Pew**, 3/14/07) after poll (**PRI**, 1/3/14) throughout the years has shown that much of the world views the United States as threat to peace, often taking the top spot as the single greatest threat. What evidence Landler has for the world viewing the US as a sort of good-natured global babysitter is unclear, as he cites nothing to support this hugely important claim (since if Trump's cynical disregard for "human rights" is nothing new, then there's no real story here). It's just thrown out with the assumption the **Times** readership is sufficiently nationalistic and/or amnesiac to either not notice or not care. It's designed to flatter, not to elucidate.



The New York Times reports that Donald Trump "holds a radically different view of the United States' role in the world than most of his predecessors," citing his lack of interest in "the rules-based postwar international order."

The second dubious assertion is the idea that the US is "viewed" as being (or, by implication, objectively is) concerned with "liberal, rules-based international order." Perhaps Landler missed the part where the <u>US runs offshore penal colonies</u> for untried political prisoners, and a decade-long drone war that's <u>killed thousands</u>—both entirely outside the scope of international law. Or the time the US invaded and destroyed Iraq without any international authorization, killing hundreds of thousands. Or perhaps he missed the part where the United States refuses to sign "liberal, rules-based international order" treaties such as the International Criminal Court or the <u>ban on bombs</u> and or <u>a prohibition on nuclear weapons</u>. Or the part where the US not only doesn't recognize the International Criminal Court, but has a <u>law on its books</u> (dubbed "the Hague Invasion Act," passed in 2002) that if an American is ever held by the ICC for committing war crimes, the US is obligated to literally invade the Hague and free them.

And this is just in the past 15 years. Landler, even more laughably, starts the clock in 1947, which would include dozens of non-"liberal," non-"rules-based" coups, invasions, bombing campaigns, assassinations, extrajudicial murders and so forth. The number of actions carried out by the US not sanctioned by even the thinnest pretext of "international order" is too long to list.

What exactly is this "liberal, rules-based international order," and when did "the world" view the United States as its most reliable anchor? Landler doesn't say, he simply asserts this highly contestable and ideological claim, and moves on to pearl-clutch about Trump ruining the US's hard-won moral authority. He has some 100 percent uncut pro-US ideology to push under the guise of criticizing Trump, and no amount of basic historical facts will get in his way.

Adam Johnson is a contributing analyst for FAIR.org.

The original source of this article is **FAIR**

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Adam Johnson

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca