

## "America's Longest War" Is Not Over!

Biden's Big Lie About the Afghan War May Turn Out to Be Dirtier and Deadlier Than All of Trump's 30,573 Lies Combined

By Brian Terrell

Region: Asia

Global Research, September 09, 2021

Theme: <u>US NATO War Agenda</u> In-depth Report: <u>AFGHANISTAN</u>

CovertAction Magazine 8 September 2021

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at <u>@crg\_globalresearch.</u>

\*\*\*

On August 31, President Joseph Robinette Biden Jr. stepped up to the White House podium, squared his shoulders, looked the American public straight in the eye — and told them the biggest lie of his Presidency (so far).

What he said was:

"Last night in Kabul, the United States ended 20 years of war in Afghanistan—the longest war in American history."

But the U.S. war on Afghanistan did not end on August 31. It has only adapted to technological advances and morphed into a war that may be less visible—and therefore more politically sustainable.

It will also continue to destabilize the Middle East, immiserate and enrage its 246 million inhabitants, and fuel a massive new influx of violent jihadists recruits—formidably armed with our own abandoned weaponry and bent on revenge against America for the deaths of their families and friends. This will, of course, require the U.S. to launch even more drone bombing missions, which will kill even more Afghan people.

That is the perfect recipe for perpetuating the "forever wars" that Biden promised to end. But it is also a perfect reassurance to the military-industrial-intelligence complex, to which Biden promised, at a June 2019 campaign fundraiser, that "nothing would fundamentally change."

In his speech on August 31, Biden himself admitted,

"We will maintain the fight against terrorism in Afghanistan and other countries. We just don't need to fight a ground war to do it. We have what's called over-the-horizon capabilities, which means we can strike terrorists and targets without American boots on the ground—or very few, if needed."

Five days before, on the evening of Thursday, August 26, hours after a suicide bomb was detonated at the gate of Kabul's Hamid Karzai International Airport killing and wounding scores of Afghans trying to flee their country and killing 13 U.S. soldiers, President Biden spoke to the world, "outraged as well as heartbroken," he said.

Many of us listening to the president's speech, made before the victims could be counted and the rubble cleared, did not find comfort or hope in his words. Instead, our heartbreak and outrage were only amplified as Joe Biden seized the tragedy to call for more war.

"To those who carried out this attack, as well as anyone who wishes America harm, know this: We will not forgive. We will not forget. We will hunt you down and make you pay," he threatened. "I've also ordered my commanders to develop operational plans to strike ISIS-K assets, leadership and facilities. We will respond with force and precision at our time, at the place we choose and the moment of our choosing."

The president's threatened "moment of our choosing" came one day later, on Friday, August 27, when the U.S. military carried out a drone strike against what it said was an ISIS-K "planner" in Afghanistan's eastern Nangarhar province.

The U.S. military's claim that it knows of "no civilian casualties" in the attack is contradicted by <u>reports</u> from the ground. "We saw that rickshaws were burning," one Afghan witness said. "Children and women were wounded and one man, one boy and one woman had been killed on the spot."

Fear of an ISIS-K counterattack further hampered evacuation efforts as the U.S. Embassy warned U.S. citizens to leave the airport. "This strike was not the last," <u>said</u> President Biden. On August 29, another U.S. drone strike <u>killed a family of ten</u> in Kabul.

Image on the right: Predator drone firing over Afghanistan. [Source: wikipedia.org]



The first <u>lethal drone strike</u> in history occurred in Afghanistan on October 7, 2001, when the CIA identified Taliban leader Mullah Omar, "or 98-percent probable it was he," but the Hellfire missile launched by a Predator drone killed two unidentified men while Mullah Omar escaped.

These two recent instances of "force and precision" ordered by Biden twenty years later marked the presumed end to the war there just as it had begun. The intervening record has not been much better and, in fact, documents exposed by whistleblower <u>Daniel Hale</u> prove that the U.S. government is aware that 90% of its drone strike victims are not the intended targets.

Zemari Ahmadi, who was killed in the August 29 drone strike in Kabul along with nine

members of his family, seven of them young children, had been employed by a California based humanitarian organization and had applied for a visa to come to the U.S., as had Ahmadi's nephew Nasser, also killed in the same attack.

Nasser had worked with U.S. Special Forces in the Afghan city of Herat and had also served as a guard for the U.S. Consulate there. Whatever affinity the surviving members of Ahmadi's family and friends might have had with the U.S. went up in smoke, that day. "America is the killer of Muslims in every place and every time," said one relative who attended the funeral, "I hope that all Islamic countries unite in their view that America is a criminal." Another mourner, a colleague of Ahmadi, said "We're now much more afraid of drones than we are of the Taliban."

The fact that targeted killings like those carried out in Afghanistan and other places from 2001 to the present are counterproductive to the stated objectives of defeating terrorism, regional stability or of winning hearts and minds has been known by the architects of the "war on terror," at least since 2009.

Thanks to Wikileaks, we have access to a CIA <u>document</u> from that year, *Making High-Value Targeting Operations an Effective Counterinsurgency Tool*. Among the "key findings" in the CIA report, analysts warn of the negative consequences of assassinating so-called High Level Targets (HLT). "The potential negative effect of HLT operations, include increasing the level of insurgent support …, strengthening an armed group's bonds with the population, radicalizing an insurgent group's remaining leaders, creating a vacuum into which more radical groups can enter, and escalating or de-escalating a conflict in ways that favor the insurgents."

The obvious truths that the CIA kept buried in a secret report have been admitted many times by high-ranking officers implementing those policies. In 2013, General James E. Cartwright, the former vice chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff told the Chicago Council on Global Affairs, reported in *The New York Times*, "We're seeing that blowback. If you're trying to kill your way to a solution, no matter how precise you are, you're going to upset people even if they're not targeted."

In a 2010 <u>interview</u> in *Rollingstone*, General Stanley McChrystal, then commander of U.S. and NATO forces in Afghanistan, figured that "for every innocent person you kill, you create 10 new enemies." By the general's equation, the U.S. created a minimum of 130 new enemies for itself in the strikes ordered by President Biden on August 27 and 29 alone.

When the catastrophic consequences of a nation's policies are so clearly predictable and evidently inevitable, they are intentional. What has happened to Afghanistan is not a series of mistakes or good intentions gone awry, they are crimes.

In his novel, 1984, George Orwell foresaw a dystopian future where wars would be fought perpetually, not intended to be won or resolved in any way and President Eisenhower's parting words as he left office in 1961 were a warning of the "grave implications" of the "military-industrial complex."

Wikileaks founder Julian Assange noted that these dire predictions had come to pass, speaking in 2011: "The goal is to use Afghanistan to wash money out of the tax bases of the U.S. and Europe through Afghanistan and back into the hands of a transnational security elite. The goal is an endless war, not a successful war."

No, the war is not over. From a nation that should be promising reparations and begging the forgiveness of the people of Afghanistan comes the infantile raging, "We will not forgive. We will not forget. We will hunt you down and make you pay" and while pledging to perpetuate the conditions that provoke terrorism, the parting taunt "and to ISIS-K: We are not done with you yet."

That the U.S. is not done with Afghanistan is seen further in the scramble to <u>recruit new intelligence assets</u> such as Ahmad Massoud, 32, commander of the Tajik-dominated National Resistance Front (NRF), which continues to fight a low-level insurgency in the Panjshir Valley against the Pashtun-led Taliban, who are viewed as a proxy of the Pakistan Inter-Services Intelligence (ISI).

Massoud is the <u>son of the legendary anti-Soviet fighter</u>, <u>Ahmad Shah Massoud</u>, whom the CIA financed and equipped in the late 1990s before a<u>cquiescing to his assassination two</u> days before the 9/11 attacks because he was against a full-fledged U.S. military invasion of Afghanistan.

The CIA's nefarious activities in Afghanistan and perpetuation of the drone war are little debated in the simplistic dualism of U.S. partisan politics where the issue seems to be only whether the current president should be blamed or should be given a pass and the blame put on his predecessor. This is a discussion that is not only irrelevant but a dangerous evasion of responsibility. Twenty years of war crimes makes many complicit.

In 1972, Rabbi Abraham Joshua Heschel wrote: "Morally speaking, there is no limit to the concern one must feel for the suffering of human beings. Indifference to evil is worse than evil itself, [and] in a free society, some are guilty, but all are responsible."

All of us in the U.S., the politicians, voters, tax payers, the investors and even those who protested and resisted it, are responsible for 20 years of war in Afghanistan. We are also all responsible for ending it-definitively.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg\_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Brian Terrell is a peace activist. He can be reached at: <a href="mailto:brian1956terrell@gmail.com">brian1956terrell@gmail.com</a>.

## America's "War on Terrorism"

**≥**by Michel Chossudovsky ISBN Number: 9780973714715

List Price: \$24.95 <u>click here to order</u> Special Price: \$18.00

In this new and expanded edition of Michel Chossudovsky's 2002 best seller, the author blows away the smokescreen put up by the mainstream media, that 9/11 was an attack on America by "Islamic terrorists". Through meticulous research, the author uncovers a

military-intelligence ploy behind the September 11 attacks, and the cover-up and complicity of key members of the Bush Administration.

The expanded edition, which includes twelve new chapters focuses on the use of 9/11 as a pretext for the invasion and illegal occupation of Iraq, the militarisation of justice and law enforcement and the repeal of democracy.

According to Chossudovsky, the "war on terrorism" is a complete fabrication based on the illusion that one man, Osama bin Laden, outwitted the \$40 billion-a-year American intelligence apparatus. The "war on terrorism" is a war of conquest. Globalisation is the final march to the "New World Order", dominated by Wall Street and the U.S. military-industrial complex.

September 11, 2001 provides a justification for waging a war without borders. Washington's agenda consists in extending the frontiers of the American Empire to facilitate complete U.S. corporate control, while installing within America the institutions of the Homeland Security State.

The original source of this article is <u>CovertAction Magazine</u> Copyright © <u>Brian Terrell</u>, <u>CovertAction Magazine</u>, 2021

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Brian Terrell

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>