

Alexei Navalny: An Opportune Death Hypocritically Mourned

By [Stephen Karganovic](#)

Global Research, February 21, 2024

Region: [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Media Disinformation](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Big Tech's Effort to Silence Truth-tellers: Global Research Online Referral Campaign](#)

If Western media are to be believed, after the countless failures of their poisonous preparations clumsy Russian chemists seem now to have finally gotten it right. Alexei Navalny is reported to be dead and the Kremlin Borgias can now say: Gotcha!

However, unfortunately for the orchestrators of the new media stunt that after February 16 plunged the Western political class and MSM into a hysterical frenzy, [the carefully crafted delusion began to unravel](#) as soon as it was launched.

First off, it turned out that the politicians and media began to react as if on cue literally just a quarter hour after the obscure website of the Russian penitentiary system posted the news of Navalny's death.

Observe the highly indicative chronological sequence of events and draw your own conclusions about the plausibility of their indignation.

At approximately 2:19 p.m. on February 18, 2024, the website operated by the Federal Penitentiary Service of Russia for the Yamal-Nenets Autonomous Area (surely not in the favourites section of most people's computers) reported the death of convict Alexei Navalny in Prison Colony No. 3.

Literally, 15 minutes later, a flurry of cut and paste commentary and accusations from Western political hacks began to pour in:

- 2:35 pm, Tobias Billström (Sweden): 'Terrible news about Navalny. If the information about his death in a Russian prison is confirmed, it will be another heinous crime by Putin's regime.'
- 2:35 pm, Barth Eide (Norway): 'I'm deeply saddened by the news of Navalny's

death. The Russian government bears a heavy burden of responsibility for this.'

- 2:41 pm, Edgars Rinkevics (Lithuania): 'Whatever your thoughts about Alexei Navalny as a politician, he was just brutally murdered by the Kremlin. That's a fact and that is something one should know about the true nature of Russia's current regime.'
- 2:50 pm, Jan Lipavsky (Czech Republic): 'Russia still treats foreign policy issues the same way it treats its citizens. It has turned into a violent state that kills people who dream of a beautiful, better future, such as Nemtsov and now Navalny, who was imprisoned and tortured to death.'
- 2:51 pm, Stéphane Séjourné (France): 'Navalny paid with his life to fight against a system of oppression. His death in a penal colony reminds us of the realities of Vladimir Putin's regime.'
- 3:02 pm, Charles Michel (EU): 'The EU holds the Russian regime solely responsible for this tragic death.'
- 3:10 pm Kiev regime kingpin Zelensky: 'Clearly, he was killed by Putin, like thousands of others who were tortured to death.' (And just as clearly Gonzalo Lira was murdered by you, one would be inclined to respond to Zelensky in his face.)
- 3:16 pm (media), 4:50 pm (social media), Jens Stoltenberg (NATO): 'We need to establish all the facts, and Russia needs to answer all the questions.' (How about waiting for the facts to be established first and then asking questions?)
- 3:20 pm, Mark Rutte (Netherlands): 'Navalny's death once again bears witness to the immense brutality of the Russian regime';
- 3:30 pm, Maia Sandu (Moldova): 'Navalny's death in a Russian prison is a reminder of the regime's egregious suppression of dissent.'
- 3:35 pm, Annalena Baerbock (Germany): 'Like no one else, Alexei Navalny was a symbol for a free and democratic Russia. That is precisely the reason he had to die.'
- 3:43 pm, Ursula von der Leyen (EU): 'A grim reminder of what Putin and his regime are all about.'
- 3:49 pm, Ulf Kristersson (Sweden): 'The Russian authorities, and President Putin personally, are responsible for Alexei Navalny no longer being alive.'
- 3:14 pm, Olaf Scholz (Germany): 'He has now paid for this courage with his life. This terrible news demonstrates once again how Russia has changed and what kind of regime is in power in Moscow.'
- 3:25 pm, Antony Blinken (USA): 'Beyond that, his death in a Russian prison and the fixation and fear of one man only underscores the weakness and rot at the heart of the system that Putin has built. Russia bears responsibility for this.'
- 5:28 pm, Emmanuel Macron (France): 'In today's Russia, free spirits are put in the Gulag and sentenced to death.'

Are we expected to believe that these ministers and officials have nothing better to do than to unceasingly monitor the website of the Russian Penitentiary service, in the hope of finding bits of information to which they might publicly react?

Note should be taken that within 15 minutes to two hours following the 2:19 p.m. announcement of Navalny's death no autopsy had or could have been performed.

There was no forensic evidence whatsoever on which any conclusions about the causes and

circumstances of Navalny's demise could have been based. The only factual data that could have been known to these hacks at the time when they made their comments was that Navalny was exercising in the prison courtyard when he suddenly collapsed. A blood clot was suspected according to prison medical staff. What might that indicate?

It suggests, [as Paul Craig Roberts has cogently argued](#), that outwardly at least Navalny's observable manner of death was [identical to that of numerous victims of the mRNA "vaccine."](#) Thousands of vaccinated young athletes [and even airline pilots](#) are dying in exactly the same way.

Where could Navalny possibly have received the fatal "vaccine," which as former British Prime Minister Theresa May was fond of saying, "highly likely" was a shot manufactured by Pfizer, statistically the deadliest of them all? Not just by Theresa's but in Navalny's case more importantly by any reasonable person's evidentiary standards, the answer is very simple.

After his botched "Novichok poisoning" in Russia in 2020, Navalny was flown to Berlin where he received treatment at the top of the line Charité hospital. That was at the height of the Covid commotion. The [hospital communique on his condition](#) may have been redacted by Western intelligence agencies, but it is inconceivable that patient Navalny would have been hospitalised there without first being injected with the vaccine. In Germany, rigorous hospital protocol made that obligatory. We have no direct evidence that while in Germany Navalny did receive the jab, but under the circumstances that appears to be the logical and natural conclusion.

The leading authority in such matters, Theresa May, would be simply obliged to agree that this would be a scenario that was "highly likely." Unless she were prepared to contradict herself, of course.

So there you have it, as Andrey Martyanov would put it.

None of the West's sock puppet politicians took that into consideration before issuing hackneyed carbon copy statements all of which appear to have been redacted by the same propaganda spin bureau.

Navalny's death, whatever may have been its direct cause, could not have been better timed from the standpoint of his Western masters. For them, it came as a godsend, serving as a double distraction. Firstly, to turn attention away from the collapse of the Ukrainian front, not just in Avdeevka but along the entire line of contact. Secondly, to reframe perception and neutralise the impact of the truth bombs which exploded in the course of Tucker Carson's interview.

It is - to reverse Teresa's now famous dictum - *highly unlikely* that the reach of Western agencies extends to the remote prison camp in Magadan. The outcomes that from the standpoint of Navalny's psychopathic controllers would be "good," amongst which Navalny's exploitable death would be a conspicuous benefit, easily could have happened fortuitously.

Even Freud was obliged to admit that ["sometimes a cigar is just a cigar."](#)


The psychopaths that Navalny foolishly agreed to serve probably got lucky. By dropping dead when he did Navalny performed his [last and perhaps most valuable service to at least partially offset the huge investment](#) they had made in him.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Stephen Karganovic is president of "[Srebrenica Historical Project](#)," an NGO registered in the Netherlands to investigate the factual matrix and background of events that took place in Srebrenica in July of 1995. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from Indian Punchline



Rethinking Srebrenica

By Stephen Karganovic

Rethinking Srebrenica examines the forensic evidence of the alleged Srebrenica “massacre” possessed by the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) in The Hague. Even though the ICTY created more than 3,500 autopsy reports, many of these autopsy reports were based on bone fragments, which do not represent complete bodies. An examination of the matching femur bones found reveals that there were only about 1,900 complete bodies that were exhumed. Of these, some 1,500 autopsy reports indicated a cause of death consistent with battlefield casualties. Only about 400 autopsy reports indicated execution as a cause of death, as revealed by ligatures and blindfolds. This forensic evidence does not warrant the conclusion of a genocide having taken place.

Karganovic examines the events that took place in Srebrenica in July 1995 in a wholistic manner instead of restricting it to a three-day event. The ten chapters cover:

- 1) Srebrenica: A Critical Overview;
- 2) Demilitarization of the UN Safe Zone of Srebrenica;
- 3) Genocide or Blowback?;
- 4) General Presentation and Interpretation of Srebrenica Forensic Data (Pattern of Injury Breakdown);
- 5) An Analysis of the Srebrenica Forensic Reports Prepared by the ICTY Prosecution Experts;

- 6) An Analysis of Muslim Column Losses Attributable to Minefields, Combat Activity, and Other Causes;
- 7) The Genocide Issue: Was there a Demonstrable Intent to Exterminate All Muslims?;
- 8) ICTY Radio Intercept Evidence;
- 9) The Balance Sheet; and
- 10) Srebrenica: Uses of the Narrative.
 - ASIN: B0992RRJRK
 - Publisher: Unwritten History, Inc.; 2 edition (July 8 2021)
 - Language: English

[Click here to purchase.](#)

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Stephen Karganovic](#), Global Research, 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Stephen Karganovic](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.
For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca