

Al Qaeda and ISIS are “Nuclear Threats”, What About Hillary Clinton?


By [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#)
Global Research, June 01, 2016
Global Research 2 April 2016

Region: [USA](#)
Theme: [Militarization and WMD](#)
In-depth Report: [Nuclear War](#)

Originally published in April 2016

America's B61-12 mini nuke portrayed as an instrument of peace.

“The danger of a terrorist group obtaining and using a nuclear weapon is one of the greatest threats to global security,” said Obama at the Nuclear Security Summit attended by more than 50 world leaders in Washington. A nonsensical statement.



According to Obama, “... al Qaida has long sought nuclear materials”, intimating that a nuclear device or a “dirty bomb” could be used in a terror attack against a Western capital (Brussels or Paris), ... “‘ISIL has already used chemical weapons, including mustard gas, in Syria and Iraq. There is no doubt that if these madmen ever got their hands on a nuclear bomb or nuclear material they most certainly would use it to kill as many innocent people as possible.” These madmen, according to Obama could “kill and injure hundreds of thousands of innocent people using only plutonium the size of an apple.”

[click image to order Michel Chossudovsky's Book](#)

The focus on ISIS “madmen” capable of developing a nuclear bomb is an obvious smokescreen, a PR campaign to dissipate the fact that the danger of nuclear war largely emanates from decision-making at the highest levels of US government.

If Al Qaeda and Daesh are the threat to global security, what about the State actors of US foreign policy including Hillary Clinton:

“What I said and what I mean is that there will have to be consequences for

any violation by Iran and that the nuclear option should not at all be taken off the table. That has been my position consistently.” ([ABC News](#), December 15, 2015)

“I want the Iranians to know that if I’m president, we will attack Iran. In the next 10 years, during which they might foolishly consider launching an attack on Israel, we would be able to totally obliterate them.” (ABC “Good Morning America.”, quoted by [Reuters](#), April 22, 2008)

The potential role of Al Qaeda and ISIS acquiring nuclear capabilities borders on the absurd, not to mention the fact that ISIS is supported by Turkey and Saudi Arabia, America’s closest allies.

The Al Qaeda legend is being used to distort the most likely causes of nuclear war, as well co-opting international leaders into accepting US global hegemony with regard to the control over nuclear weapons and nuclear materials.

Moreover, lest we forget, US intelligence has acted as a sponsor of Al Qaeda since the heyday of the Soviet Afghan War. If Al Qaeda and ISIS constitute a nuclear danger, who are their State sponsors?

The dangers of nuclear war are nonetheless real.

The US is developing a trillion dollar project which consists in refurbishing its nuclear arsenal, not to mention the development of the B61-12 tactical nuclear weapon.

Tactical nuclear weapons or so-called mini nukes (with an explosive capacity of one third to six times a Hiroshima bomb) were cleared by the Senate in 2002 for use in the conventional war theater. They are considered “harmless to the surrounding population because the explosion is underground” according to scientific opinion on contract to the Pentagon.

The mini-nukes portrayed as instruments of peace are bona fide thermonuclear bombs with a lower explosive capacity.

Turkey has 90 tactical B61 nuclear bombs at its Incirlik air force base, which are deployed against targets in the Middle East.

The Nuclear Security Summit is a propaganda ploy intent upon distorting the nuclear threat which largely emanates from the US and its NATO allies under the Pentagon’s post 9/11 nuclear doctrine, which consists in the use of nuclear weapons on a pre-emptive first strike basis against nuclear as well as non-nuclear states.

Michel Chossudovsky’s interview with RT America regarding the April 2016 Nuclear Security Summit

Since the first Nuclear Security Summit in April 2010, neither the discourse nor the agenda has changed.

What Washington wants is to gain a monopoly over nuclear weapons as well acquire and control nuclear materials.

The issue of terrorism is a smokescreen.

The original source of this article is Global Research

Copyright © [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#), Global Research, 2016

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#)

About the author:

Michel Chossudovsky is an award-winning author, Professor of Economics (emeritus) at the University of Ottawa, Founder and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), Montreal, Editor of Global Research. He has taught as visiting professor in Western Europe, Southeast Asia, the Pacific and Latin America. He has served as economic adviser to governments of developing countries and has acted as a consultant for several international organizations. He is the author of 13 books. He is a contributor to the Encyclopaedia Britannica. His writings have been published in more than twenty languages. In 2014, he was awarded the Gold Medal for Merit of the Republic of Serbia for his writings on NATO's war of aggression against Yugoslavia. He can be reached at crgeditor@yahoo.com

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca