

# About Those Pfizer Papers and the Denominator FDA Does Not Want You to See

By [Dr. James Lyons-Weiler](#)

Global Research, March 10, 2022

[Popular Rationalism](#)

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch\\_crg](#) and Twitter at [@crglobalization](#).


\*\*\*

*This is not the first time the favorable conclusions of a report ignored the data in a report. The Pfizer documents seem to show they were all just going through the motions, all for show.*

When Siri & Glimstad filed their complaint against FDA for failing to produce the documents from Pfizer’s clinical trials on their COVID-19 vaccine, FDA produced a torrent of documents. Among those documents, available via [Public Health and Medical Professionals for Transparency](#), one document stands out. I’ll summarize here some of the report but be sure to [get your own copy here](#). The file you want is “5.3.6 postmarketing experience.pdf”, and the document is entitled “5.3.6 CUMULATIVE ANALYSIS OF POST-AUTHORIZATION ADVERSE EVENT REPORTS OF PF-07302048 (BNT162B2) RECEIVED THROUGH 28-FEB-2021”.

Take a look at Figure 1, which summarizes the 42,086 case reports containing 158,893 events.

**Figure 1. Total Number of BNT162b2 AEs by System Organ Classes and Event Seriousness**



I see over 20,000 SERIOUS general disorders, over 10,000 SERIOUS nervous system events, over 5,000 musculoskeletal and gastrointestinal SERIOUS events each.

Pfizer's Table 2 lists >93,000 events that occurred in  $\geq 2\%$  of events.

Looking at the Figure 1 and the text (serious and non-serious):

- Nervous system disorders: 25,957.
- Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders: 17,283.
- Gastrointestinal disorders: 14,096.

There are more categories, you can see them in Figure 1.

In the meantime:

Remember that Pfizer and Moderna promised complete transparency.

Remember that FDA had access to Pfizer's numbers.

Remember that these data were not peer-reviewed, and vaccine safety studies data for EUA and FDA approval are not, as a matter of practice, subjected to blinded peer-review. Why not?

What Does This Say About Risk?

Unfortunately, we cannot know. The number of doses given to the date the report was

generated was *redacted*, preventing any computation of rates and risks.

John Campbell points out that Janet Woodcock of the FDA reported, reporting the approval of the EUA on August 21, 2021, said the following:

While millions of people have already safely received COVID-19 vaccines, we recognize that for some, the FDA approval of a vaccine may now instill additional confidence to get vaccinated.

— Dr. Janet Woodcock (@DrWoodcockFDA) [August 23, 2021](#)

Knowing she had access to these data, John wonders how could she say that at that time?

John is a pro-vaccine health expert (retired nurse, I presume) in the UK who is now calling out US's Acting FDA Commissioner. He goes on to call out Peter Marks, director of FDA's Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, for saying the following in a press release:

"Our scientific and medical experts conducted an incredibly thorough and thoughtful evaluation of this vaccine. We evaluated scientific data and information included in hundreds of thousands of pages, conducted our own analyses of Comirnaty's safety and effectiveness, and performed a detailed assessment of the manufacturing processes, including inspections of the manufacturing facilities... (w)e have not lost sight that the COVID-19 public health crisis continues in the U.S. and that the public is counting on safe and effective vaccines. The public and medical community (sic) can be confident that although we approved this vaccine expeditiously, it was fully in keeping with our existing high standards for vaccines in the U.S."

Good for you, John, for calling them out, as we all should. In fact, by redacting the denominator, the FDA may be in contempt of court. They certainly have contempt for public awareness of the risks associated with the Pfizer vaccine.

FDA needs to publish the redacted denominator so we know the rates.

Here you can watch John go step-by-step through the report and conclude that FDA has "destroyed" public trust in the process.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @globalresearch\_crg and Twitter at @crglobalization. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums, etc.

*Featured image is from Children's Health Defense*

The original source of this article is [Popular Rationalism](#)  
Copyright © [Dr. James Lyons-Weiler](#), [Popular Rationalism](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Dr. James Lyons-Weiler](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)