

Abiding by Brussels' Migrant Resettlement Quota: Serbia's Migrant Sell-Out Is to Distract from Ivanovic's Assassination

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, January 24, 2018

[Oriental Review](#) 23 January 2018

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [History](#), [Law and Justice](#), [Media](#)

[Disinformation](#)

The scandalous news that non-EU-member Serbia is already abiding by Brussels' proposed migrant resettlement quota before the bloc itself even agrees on it is a conveniently timed distraction to divert attention from President Vucic's volte face on resuming negotiations with Kosovo.

Serbs have been in quite a stir over the past couple of days after their Internal Affairs Minister, Nebojsa Stefanovic, [declared](#) that:

“If the EU decides that the migrants have to be distributed according to EU quotas, Serbia is of course ready to participate in that. We are not just ready to participate in the future — we are participating in it right now. We already have 4,000 migrants on our territory and we are providing them with all the humane treatment which one country can deliver for migrants and refugees.”

This amounts to nothing more than EU-aspirant Serbia agreeing to a proposal that the bloc itself hasn't even approved, demonstrating a slavish mentality to please Brussels at all costs in order to facilitate the Balkan country's membership into the organization.

Not only that, but the announcement was widely republished all across the web, including in Afghanistan by the country's popular government-linked [TOLOnews](#) outlet, indicating that certain migrant-originating countries might be hinting to their restless nationals that Serbia could be a “backup Germany” if they feel adventurous enough to leave their homelands and venture elsewhere in search of a “[better life](#)”.

After all, it's already thought that most of the illegal migrants in Serbia aren't even “Syrians”, let alone “refugees”, with even [Al Jazeera](#) recognizing in 2016 that “most, about 70-80 percent of [them] are from Afghanistan”, so it's perfectly natural that this landlocked war zone would be “advertising” Serbia as the next destination for its [civilizationally dissimilar](#) nationals to move to now that Belgrade has apparently relaxed its policies and is bragging about how good it treats migrants.

Seeing as how Serbia might even one day join the EU, the pursuit of which is the reason why the country is selling out on its previously principled border security policies, then the future Afghan “new arrivals” and others from elsewhere across the world that might stream into the country in the coming months could end up entering the bloc as well if that happens, which is yet another incentive for them to travel there and wait a few years for this to occur.

Tying Afghanistan into all of this isn't the result of rampant speculation and "fear mongering", since the country is [poised to receive](#) approximately 1,5 million "refugees" that are [supposed to be repatriated](#) from Pakistan in the coming weeks, and it's all but impossible for this failed state to accommodate a roughly 4% sudden increase in its population if it can't even care for its own people inside the country as it is.

This makes it likely that the government will seek to induce these individuals to migrate somewhere else as soon as possible in order to prevent them from turning against the US-backed authorities and joining the Taliban, hence why TOLONews promoted the Serbian government's decision to jump the gun and begin implementing an EU migrant policy that hasn't even been agreed to yet by Brussels itself.

It would ordinarily make no sense why a national leader would want to encourage a migrant influx into his small and relatively impoverished country in the coming months, but the reason that Vucic's government is doing this is because [they're Europhiles more than they are Serbophiles](#), and the President has been known to follow the lead of his EU role model Merkel even if it could be contrary to his nation's interests.

That's exactly what's happening in this case, but the timing of his government's bizarre announcement on this issue also needs to be taken into account because it conveniently serves as a distraction from Vucic's volte face on resuming negotiations with Serbia's breakaway and self-declared "independent" Autonomous Province of Kosovo.

Belgrade previously [suspended](#) its "normalization" negotiations with Pristina following the terrorist assassination of Kosovo Serb politician Oliver Ivanovic and [ruled out](#) the continuation of dialogue until the culprit was brought to justice, but then a day later Vucic [agreed](#) with his separatist counterpart to resume the said talks.

This came as a shock to observers who couldn't believe that the country's President cared so little about the assassination of a compatriot that he'd reverse his presumably "principled" position without even a patsy being pinpointed for the crime first, though it should be said that many Serbs weren't surprised because they've grown used to their leader's antics and are familiar with his [duplicitous style](#).

Nevertheless, it's objectively inflammatory for a head of state to behave this way regardless of how psychologically conditioned his people may be to expecting this from him, hence why it may have been "politically convenient" for his government to announce their newly reformed migrant policy so soon after this scandal and before its citizens even finished mourning Ivanovic, let alone realized that Vucic was lying to them when he promised not to resume negotiations with Kosovo before justice was served.

The significance of this can't be overstated because the said negotiations could eventually lead to Serbia's de-facto recognition of Kosovo's "independence" as a prerequisite for joining the EU, which amounts to selling out the country's most enduring historical interest and even its identity, similar in a sense to how newly installed Macedonian Prime Minister Zoran Zaev is [doing the same](#) by agreeing to change his country's constitutional name.

Instead of focusing on all of that, the people are now distracted by the migrant news that could much more directly affect each and every one of their lives than Ivanovic's assassination because of the security threat that it might pose to them, especially if some battle-hardened Afghan Taliban from Pakistan's mountainous frontier infiltrate into their

country under the guise of being ([child?](#)) “refugees”.

The Roman quip about “bread and circuses” is more relevant for Vucic’s Serbia than anywhere else, as the President has a flair for drama and is known for hyping up three [supposed](#) “[assassination attempts](#)” against him in as many years, so it’s not too out of the “ordinary” in the country’s recent political context for its leader to attempt to manufacture an Afghan migrant crisis in order to distract from yet another broken promise and possibly even the ultimate betrayal in pursuit of his cherished dream of EU membership.

*

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China’s One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare.

Featured image is from the author.

The original source of this article is [Oriental Review](#)
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), [Oriental Review](#), 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China’s One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca