

9/11 Kangaroo Court Trials: What We Really Know About the Alleged 9/11 "Masterminds"

By Washington's Blog Global Research, May 06, 2016 Washington's Blog 4 May 2016 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Crimes against Humanity</u>, <u>Law and</u> <u>Justice</u>, <u>Terrorism</u>

The government pretends that it's giving the surviving 9/11 masterminds a fair trial, and that justice will prevail.

The truth may be different ...

Kangaroo Court Show Trials

Buzzfeed reported yesterday:

"The Defense Department has farmed out to a private company much of the criminal investigation and trials of the men accused of plotting the 9/11 attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon, according to federal records and sources affiliated with the trials who spoke to BuzzFeed News.

What's more, the government has hired the same firm, SRA International, to serve both the prosecution and defense teams, sparking concerns of a conflict of interest that could undermine the integrity of one of the most significant terrorism cases in modern history."

Sound a little odd? It's not the only fishy thing about the 9/11 trials ...

In 2008, the former chief prosecutor for Guantanamo's military commissions disclosed that the trials have been *rigged to prevent any possibility of acquittal*.

Specifically, the head of the Guantanamo tribunal — who is actually in charge of both prosecuting and defending the suspects — <u>told</u> the former chief prosecutor:

"Wait a minute, we can't have acquittals. If we've been holding these guys for so long, how can we explain letting them get off? We can't have acquittals, we've got to have convictions."

In addition, three other Guantanamo prosecutors — Maj. Robert Preston, Capt. John Carr and Capt. Carrie Wolf — "asked to be relieved of duties after saying <u>they were concerned that</u> the process was rigged. One said he had been assured he didn't need to worry about building a proper case; *convictions were assured*."

Another former Guantanamo prosecutor resigned, <u>saying</u> in a sworn declaration that the government pulled all sorts of shenanigans in one case.

The head of the tribunal also <u>said</u> that — even if the defendants are somehow acquitted — <u>they may not be released from Guantanamo</u>.

No wonder the American Bar Association, "which the Pentagon had said would help arrange such representation, <u>has refused to participate because it objects to the trial procedures</u>."

And no wonder the defense attorneys who have agreed to represent the defendants <u>say</u> that the process is completely unfair. See also this interview.

Both the 9/11 Trials and the 9/11 Commission Investigation Were Based on Unreliable Evidence Produced by Torture

The CIA <u>videotaped the interrogation of 9/11 suspects</u>, falsely told the 9/11 Commission that there were no videotapes or other records of the interrogations, and then illegally destroyed all of the tapes and transcripts of the interrogations.

9/11 Commission co-chairs Thomas Keane and Lee Hamilton wrote:

"Those who knew about those videotapes — and did not tell us about them — obstructed



our investigation."

The chief lawyer for Guantanamo litigation – Vijay Padmanabhan – <u>said</u> that torture of 9/11 suspects was widespread. And Susan J. Crawford, the senior Pentagon official overseeing the military commissions at Guantánamo — the novel system of trials for terror suspects that was conceived in the wake of the 9/11 attacks — <u>told</u> Bob Woodward:

"We tortured Qahtani. His treatment met the legal definition of torture."

Moreover, the type of torture used by the U.S. on the Guantanamo suspects is of a special type. Senator Levin revealed that the <u>the U.S. used *Communist* torture techniques</u> <u>specifically aimed at creating false confessions.</u> (and see <u>this</u>, <u>this</u>, <u>this</u> and <u>this</u>).

And according to <u>NBC News</u>:

 Much of the 9/11 Commission Report was based upon the testimony of people who were tortured

- At least four of the people whose interrogation figured in the 9/11 Commission Report have claimed that they told interrogators information as a way to stop being "tortured."
- One of the Commission's main sources of information was tortured until he agreed to sign a confession that he was NOT EVEN ALLOWED TO READ
- The 9/11 Commission itself *doubted the accuracy of the torture confessions*, and yet kept their doubts to themselves

In addition, one of the two main "sources" of information for the 9/11 Commission Report – Abu Zubaydah – was touted by the government as <u>one of Al Qaeda's top 3 leaders</u> ... an Al Qaeda mastermind, general, and terror coordinator. But the government was later forced to admit that Zubaydah <u>wasn't even *connected* with Al Qaeda at *all*.</u>

Zubaydah was also literally <u>nutty as a fruitcake years before 9/11</u>, and yet the CIA kept on torturing him until he totally lost his mind and became like a brain-dead, trained dog. And the government touted his information gained from torture as if it were vital fact.

The other main "source" for the 9/11 Commission Report – alleged 9/11 mastermind Khalid Sheikh Mohammed – said that he gave the interrogators a lot of <u>false information – telling</u> them what he thought they wanted to hear – in an attempt to stop the torture. We also know that he was heavily tortured <u>specifically for the purpose of trying to obtain false</u> information about 9/11 – specifically, that Iraq had something to do with it.

9/11 Commissioners Slam Blatant Obstruction of Justice

The 9/11 Commissioners publicly expressed anger at cover ups and obstructions of justice by the government into a real 9/11 investigation:

- The Commission's co-chairs <u>said</u> that the CIA (and likely the White House) "obstructed our investigation"
- 9/11 Commissioner Bob Kerrey said that <u>"There are ample reasons to suspect</u> that there may be some alternative to what we outlined in our version . . . We didn't have access"
- 9/11 Commissioner Timothy Roemer said <u>"We were extremely frustrated with</u> the false statements we were getting"
- 9/11 Commissioner Max Cleland resigned from the Commission, stating: <u>"It is a national scandal</u>"; <u>"This investigation is now compromised</u>"; and <u>"One of these days we will have to get the full story because the 9-11 issue is so important to America. But this White House wants to cover it up"
 </u>
- The Senior Counsel to the 9/11 Commission (John Farmer) who led the 9/11 staff's inquiry <u>said</u> "At some level of the government, at some point in time...there was an agreement not to tell the truth about what happened". He also <u>said</u> "I was shocked at how different the truth was from the way it was

described The tapes told a radically different story from what had been told to us and the public for two years.... This is not spin. This is not true."

And the Co-Chair of the official Congressional Inquiry Into 9/11 – and former head of the Senate Intelligence Committee – has <u>called for a new 9/11 investigation</u>.

Some examples of obstruction of justice into the 9/11 investigation include:

• An FBI informant hosted and rented a room to two hijackers in 2000. Specifically, investigators for the Congressional Joint Inquiry <u>discovered</u> that an FBI informant had hosted and even rented a room to two hijackers in 2000 and that, when the Inquiry sought to interview the informant, the FBI refused outright, and then hid him in an unknown location, and that a high-level FBI official stated these blocking maneuvers were undertaken under orders from the White House. As the <u>New York Times notes</u>:

Senator Bob Graham, the Florida Democrat who is a former chairman of the Senate Intelligence Committee, accused the White House on Tuesday of covering up evidenceThe accusation stems from the Federal Bureau of Investigation's refusal to allow investigators for a Congressional inquiry and the independent Sept. 11 commission to interview an informant, Abdussattar Shaikh, who had been <u>the landlord</u> in San Diego of two Sept. 11 hijackers.

- The chairs of both the 9/11 Commission and the Official Congressional Inquiry into 9/11 <u>said</u> that Soviet-style government "minders" obstructed the investigation into 9/11 by intimidating witnesses
- The 9/11 Commissioners <u>concluded</u> that officials from the Pentagon lied to the Commission, and considered recommending criminal charges for such false statements
- The tape of interviews of air traffic controllers on-duty on 9/11 was intentionally destroyed by crushing the cassette by hand, cutting the tape into little pieces, and then dropping the pieces in different trash cans around the building <u>as</u> <u>shown by this NY Times article (summary version is free; full version is pay-perview)</u> and by <u>this article from the Chicago Sun-Times</u>
- As reported by <u>ACLU</u>, <u>FireDogLake</u>, <u>RawStory</u> and many others, declassified documents show that Senior Bush administration officials sternly cautioned the 9/11 Commission against probing too deeply into the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001

So how much do we really know about the 9/11 defendants?

The original source of this article is <u>Washington's Blog</u> Copyright © <u>Washington's Blog</u>, <u>Washington's Blog</u>, 2016

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Washington's Blog

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca